



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,504	07/17/2003	Martin Mallinson	37213.01000	2600

7590 10/21/2005

HAYNES, BEFFEL & WOLFELD, LLP
P.O. BOX 366
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94109

EXAMINER

FLANDERS, ANDREW C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2644

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/621,504	Applicant(s) MALLINSON, MARTIN	
	Examiner Andrew C. Flanders	Art Unit 2644	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew C. Flanders. (3) _____

(2) Ernest J. Beffel. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 07 October 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Brief sketch showing combination of Ruha and Oprescu prior art.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 74, 82 and 83.


Identification of prior art discussed: Ruha (U.S. Patent 6,466,087) and Oprescu (U.S. Patent 6,208,279).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


VIVIAN CHIN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection of Claim 1 was discussed. Applicant states using the Oprescu filter with the Ruha PWM renders the combination inoperable. Further consideration will by the Examiner will be required to confirm this. Applicant also wanted to know how to make claims 82 and 83 more like previously indicated allowable claim 74. Examiner suggested combining claims 82 and 83 and adding the feedback limitation. However, Examiner indicated that it was not possible to determine allowability at the current time. Further search and or consideration would be required for all claims..